arXiv:1502.07277v1 [math.CA] 25 Feb 2015

NONSYMMETRIC AND SYMMETRIC FRACTIONAL CALCULI ON ARBITRARY NONEMPTY CLOSED SETS

NADIA BENKHETTOU, ARTUR M. C. BRITO DA CRUZ, AND DELFIM F. M. TORRES

Abstract. We introduce a nabla, a delta, and a symmetric fractional calculus on arbitrary nonempty closed subsets of the real numbers. These fractional calculi provide a study of differentiation and integration of noninteger order on discrete, continuous, and hybrid settings. Main properties of the new fractional operators are investigated, and some fundamental results presented, illustrating the interplay between discrete and continuous behaviors.

1. Introduction

The notion of *derivative* is at the core of any calculus. One can interpret the derivative in a geometrical way, as the slope of a curve, or, physically, as a rate of change. But what if we generalize the notion of derivative and we study the limit

$$\lim_{s\to t}\frac{f(s)-f(t)}{(s-t)^{\alpha}}$$

for $\alpha \in]0,1]$ (derivative of order α)? In this paper we discuss this question in the general framework of the calculus on time scales, which might be best understood as the continuum bridge between discrete time and continuous time theories, offering a rich formalism for studying hybrid discrete-continuous dynamical systems [11, 12, 27]. The particular case of the q-scale fractional calculus is well studied in the literature: see [2, 3, 4] and references therein. Here we are interested to deal with arbitrary time scales.

A time scale is a model of time, where the continuous and the discrete are considered and merged into a single theory. Time scales were first introduced by Aulbach and Hilger in 1988 [5]. Applications in many different fields that require simultaneous modeling of discrete and continuous data are available [19, 20, 33].

In order to define an inverse operator of our new derivative, the antiderivative, we apply some ideas from fractional calculus, which is a branch of mathematical analysis that studies the possibility of taking real number powers of the differentiation operator [1, 25, 32]. The fractional calculus goes back to Leibniz (1646–1716) himself. However, it was only in the last 20 years that fractional calculus has gained an increasingly attention of researchers. In October 2009, Science Watch of Thomson Reuters identified it as an *Emerging Research Front* and gave an award to Metzler and Klafter for their paper [28]. Here we consider fractional calculus in the more

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A33; 26E70.

Key words and phrases. Discrete and continuous fractional calculi; Nonsymmetric and symmetric fractional calculi; Time scales.

This is a preprint of a paper whose final and definite form will be published in *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, ISSN 0170-4214. Submitted 05/March/2014; revised 24/Feb/2015; accepted 25/Feb/2015.

general setting of time scales. The study of fractional calculus on arbitrary time scales was introduced in the PhD thesis of Bastos [6, 7, 8, 9] and is now subject of strong current research: see, e.g., [10, 21, 22, 24, 29, 30]. However, to the best of our knowledge, all the previous results refer to nonsymmetric fractional calculi. Here we introduce a general symmetric fractional calculus on time scales. For the importance to study such a symmetric calculus we refer the reader to [15, 16, 17].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the basic notions and necessary results to what follows. Our results appear in Section 3. We begin to define and develop the nonsymmetric fractional calculus (Section 3.1). In order to do that, we define the nabla fractional derivative and the nabla fractional integral of order $\alpha \in]0,1]$. Then, in Section 3.2, we introduce and develop the symmetric fractional calculus. We end with Section 4 of conclusions and future work.

2. Preliminaries

A nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is called a time scale and is denoted by $\mathbb{T}$. We assume that a time scale has the topology inherited from $\mathbb{R}$ with the standard topology. Two jump operators are considered: the forward jump operator $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$, defined by $\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}$ with $\inf\emptyset = \sup\mathbb{T}$ (i.e., $\sigma(M) = M$ if $\mathbb{T}$ has a maximum M), and the backward jump operator $\rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ defined by $\rho(t) := \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}$ with $\sup\emptyset = \inf\mathbb{T}$ (i.e., $\rho(m) = m$ if $\mathbb{T}$ has a minimum m). A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is said to be right-dense, right-scattered, left-dense or left-scattered if $\sigma(t) = t$, $\sigma(t) > t$, $\rho(t) = t$ or $\rho(t) < t$, respectively. A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is dense if it is right and left dense; isolated if it is right and left scattered. If $\sup\mathbb{T}$ is finite and left-scattered, then we define $\mathbb{T}^\kappa := \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\sup\mathbb{T}\}$, otherwise $\mathbb{T}^\kappa := \mathbb{T}$; if $\inf\mathbb{T}$ is finite and right-scattered, then $\mathbb{T}_\kappa := \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\inf\mathbb{T}\}$, otherwise $\mathbb{T}_\kappa := \mathbb{T}$. We set $\mathbb{T}_\kappa^\kappa := \mathbb{T}_\kappa \cap \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ and we denote $f \circ \sigma$ by f^σ and $f \circ \rho$ by f^ρ.

In time scales it is common to define two derivatives: one using the forward jump operator, the so-called delta derivative; the other using the backward jump operator, known as the nabla derivative.

Definition 2.1 (Delta derivative [11])**.** We say that a function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is delta differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ if there exists a number $f^\Delta(t)$ such that, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood U of t such that

$$\left|f^\sigma(t) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)\right| \leq \varepsilon\left|\sigma(t) - s\right|$$

for all $s \in U$. We call $f^\Delta(t)$ the delta derivative of f at t and we say that f is delta differentiable if f is delta differentiable for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$.

Definition 2.2 (Nabla derivative [11])**.** We say that a function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is nabla differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa$ if there exists a number $f^\nabla(t)$ such that, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood V of t such that

$$\left|f^\rho(t) - f(s) - f^\nabla(t)(\rho(t) - s)\right| \leq \varepsilon\left|\rho(t) - s\right|$$

for all $s \in V$. We call $f^\nabla(t)$ the nabla derivative of f at t and we say that f is nabla differentiable if f is nabla differentiable for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa$.

A third derivative, the symmetric derivative on time scales, can be seen, under certain assumptions, as a generalization of both the nabla and delta derivatives. The symmetric calculus on time scales was recently proposed and investigated in [13, 14, 18]. We refer the reader to these references for the motivation to study the symmetric calculus and for a deep understanding of the theory.

Definition 2.3 (See [14])**.** We say that a function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric continuous at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $U_t \subset \mathbb{T}$ of t such that, for all $s \in U_t$ for which $2t - s \in U_t$, one has $|f(s) - f(2t - s)| \leq \varepsilon$.

Continuity implies symmetric continuity but the reciprocal is not true [14].

Definition 2.4 (See [14])**.** Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}_{\kappa}$. The symmetric derivative of f at t, denoted by $f^{\diamondsuit}(t)$, is the real number, provided it exists, with the property that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{T}$ of t such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|[f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t - s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\diamondsuit}(t)\,[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]\right| \\ \leq \varepsilon\,|\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)| \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in U$ for which $2t - s \in U$. A function f is said to be symmetric differentiable provided $f^{\diamondsuit}(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}_{\kappa}$.

In time scales one uses special classes of functions that guarantee the existence of an antiderivative.

Definition 2.5. A function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called regulated provided its right-sided limit exist (finite) at all right-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$ and its left-sided limits exist (finite) at all left-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$.

Definition 2.6. A function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called rd-continuous provided it is continuous at right-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$ and its left-sided limits exist (finite) at left-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$. The set of rd-continuous functions $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{rd}$. Analogously, a function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called ld-continuous if it is continuous at all left-dense points and if its right-sided limits exist and are finite at all right-dense points. We denote the set of all ld-continuous functions by $\mathcal{C}_{ld}$.

Theorem 2.7 (See [11, 12])**.** *Every ld-continuous function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ has a nabla antiderivative. In particular, if $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, then F defined by*

$$F(t) := \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau)\,\nabla\tau \quad \textit{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{T}$$

is a nabla antiderivative x of f.

For the notions of nabla and delta integrals and their generalizations, we refer the reader to [11, 26, 31].

3. Main Results

We develop two types of fractional calculi on arbitrary time scales: nonsymmetric (Section 3.1) and symmetric (Section 3.2). The new calculi provide, as particular cases, discrete, quantum, continuous and hybrid fractional derivatives and integrals.

3.1. **Nonsymmetric fractional calculus.** We begin by introducing a new notion: the nabla fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in]0, 1]$ for functions defined on arbitrary time scales. For $\alpha = 1$ we obtain the usual nabla derivative of the time-scale calculus. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a time scale, $t \in \mathbb{T}$, and $\delta > 0$. We define the right δ-neighborhood of t as $\mathcal{U}^{+} := [t, t + \delta[\cap \mathbb{T}$ and the left δ-neighborhood of t as $\mathcal{U}^{-} :=]t - \delta, t] \cap \mathbb{T}$.

Definition 3.1 (The nabla fractional derivative)**.** Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$. For $\alpha \in]0, 1] \cap \{1/q : q \text{ is an odd number}\}$ (resp. $\alpha \in]0, 1] \setminus \{1/q : q \text{ is an odd number}\}$) we define $f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)$ to be the number (provided it exists) with the property that, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a δ-neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{T}$ of t (resp. right δ-neighborhood $\mathcal{U}^+ \subset \mathbb{T}$ of t), $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\left|[f(s) - f^\rho(t))] - f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)\,[s-\rho(t)]^\alpha\right| \leq \varepsilon\,|s-\rho(t)|^\alpha$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{U}$ (resp. $s \in \mathcal{U}^+$). We call $f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)$ the nabla fractional derivative of f of order α at t.

Throughout the paper we only consider the nonsymmetric fractional calculus as the calculus derived from the nabla fractional derivative. However, we could also consider the delta fractional calculus associated with the delta fractional derivative.

Definition 3.2 (The delta fractional derivative)**.** Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$. For $\alpha \in]0,1] \cap \{1/q : q \text{ is an odd number}\}$ (resp. $\alpha \in]0,1] \setminus \{1/q : q \text{ is an odd number}\}$) we define $f^{\Delta^\alpha}(t)$ to be the number (provided it exists) with the property that, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a δ-neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{T}$ of t (resp. left δ-neighborhood $\mathcal{U}^- \subset \mathbb{T}$ of t), $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\left|[f^\sigma(t) - f(s)] - f^{\Delta^\alpha}(t)\,[\sigma(t)-s]^\alpha\right| \leq \varepsilon\,|\sigma(t)-s|^\alpha$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{U}$ (resp. $s \in \mathcal{U}^-$). We call $f^{\Delta^\alpha}(t)$ the delta fractional derivative of f of order α at t.

Along the text α is a real number in the interval $]0,1]$. The next theorem provides some useful properties of the nabla fractional derivative on time scales.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$. The following properties hold:*

(i) *Let $\alpha \in]0,1] \cap \left\{\frac{1}{q} : q \text{ is an odd number}\right\}$. If t is left-dense and if f is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at t, then f is continuous at t.*

(ii) *Let $\alpha \in]0,1] \setminus \left\{\frac{1}{q} : q \text{ is an odd number}\right\}$. If t is left-dense and if f is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at t, then f is right-continuous at t.*

(iii) *If f is continuous at t and t is left-scattered, then f is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at t with*

$$f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = \frac{f(t) - f^\rho(t)}{[t-\rho(t)]^\alpha}.$$

(iv) *Let $\alpha \in]0,1] \cap \left\{\frac{1}{q} : q \text{ is an odd number}\right\}$. If t is left-dense, then f is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at t if, and only if, the limit*

$$\lim_{s\to t} \frac{f(s)-f(t)}{(s-t)^\alpha}$$

exists as a finite number. In this case,

$$f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = \lim_{s\to t} \frac{f(s)-f(t)}{(s-t)^\alpha}.$$

(v) *Let $\alpha \in]0,1] \setminus \left\{\frac{1}{q} : q \text{ is an odd number}\right\}$. If t is left-dense, then f is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at t if, and only if, the limit*

$$\lim_{s\to t^+} \frac{f(s)-f(t)}{(s-t)^\alpha}$$

exists as a finite number. In this case,

$$f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = \lim_{s \to t^+} \frac{f(s) - f(t)}{(s-t)^{\alpha}}.$$

(vi) *If f is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at t, then*

$$f(t) = f^{\rho}(t) + [t - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t).$$

Proof. (*i*) Assume that f is fractional differentiable at t. Then, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of t such that

$$\left| [f(s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \le \varepsilon\, |s - \rho(t)|^{\alpha}$$

for $s \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, for all $s \in \mathcal{U} \cap \,]t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon[$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - f(s)| \le & \left| [f(s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \\ & + \left| [f(t) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[t - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \\ & + \left| f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) \right| \left| [s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} - [t - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \end{aligned}$$

and, since t is a left-dense point,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - f(s)| &\le \left| [f(s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| + \left| f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s - t]^{\alpha} \right| \\ &\le \varepsilon\, |s - t|^{\alpha} + \left| f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s - t]^{\alpha} \right| \\ &\le \varepsilon^{\alpha} \left[\varepsilon + \left| f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) \right| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that f is continuous at t. (*ii*) The proof is similar to the proof of (*i*), where instead of considering the neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of t we consider a right neighborhood $\mathcal{U}^{+}$ of t. (*iii*) Assume that f is continuous at t and t is left-scattered. By continuity,

$$\lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(s) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}} = \frac{f(t) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[t - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}}.$$

Hence, given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in]0,1] \cap \{1/q : q \text{ is an odd number}\}$, there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of t (or $\mathcal{U}^{+}$ if $\alpha \in]0,1] \setminus \{1/q : q \text{ is an odd number}\}$) such that

$$\left| \frac{f(s) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}} - \frac{f(t) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[t - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}} \right| \le \varepsilon$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{U}$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}^{+}$). It follows that

$$\left| [f(s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - \frac{f(t) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[t - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}}\,[s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \le \varepsilon\, |s - \rho(t)|^{\alpha}$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{U}$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}^{+}$). Hence, we get the desired result:

$$f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = \frac{f(t) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[t - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}}.$$

(*iv*) Assume that f is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at t and t is left-dense. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since f is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at t, there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of t such that

$$\left| [f(s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \le \varepsilon\, |s - \rho(t)|^{\alpha}$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{U}$. Since $\rho(t) = t$,

$$\left|[f(s) - f(t)] - f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)\,[s-t]^\alpha\right| \leq \varepsilon |s-t|^\alpha$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{U}$. It follows that

$$\left|\frac{f(s) - f(t)}{[s-t]^\alpha} - f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)\right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{U}$, $s \neq t$. Therefore, we get the desired result:

$$f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha}.$$

Now assume that

$$\lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(s) - f(t)}{(s-t)^\alpha}$$

exists and is equal to L and t is left-dense. Then, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of t such that

$$\left|\frac{f(s) - f(t)}{(s-t)^\alpha} - L\right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{U} \backslash \{t\}$. Because t is left-dense,

$$\left|\frac{f(s) - f^\rho(t)}{[s-\rho(t)]^\alpha} - L\right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$|[f(s) - f^\rho(t)] - L\,[s-\rho(t)]^\alpha| \leq \varepsilon |s - \rho(t)|^\alpha$$

for all $s \in U$ (note that the inequality is trivially verified for $s = t$). Hence, f is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at t and

$$f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(s) - f(t)}{(s-t)^\alpha}.$$

(v) The proof is similar to the proof of (iv), where instead of considering the neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of t we consider a right-neighborhood $\mathcal{U}^+$ of t. (vi) If $\rho(t) = t$, then

$$f^\rho(t) = f(t) = f(t) + [t - \rho(t)]^\alpha f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)\,.$$

On the other hand, if $t > \rho(t)$, then, by (iii),

$$f(t) = f^\rho(t) + [t-\rho(t)]^\alpha \frac{f(t) - f^\rho(t)}{[t-\rho(t)]^\alpha} = f^\rho(t) + [t-\rho(t)]^\alpha f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t).$$

The proof is complete. □

Next result relates different orders of the nabla fractional derivative of a function.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \,]0,1]$ with $\beta \geq \alpha$ and let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. If f is nabla fractional differentiable of order β at $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then f is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at t.*

Proof. If t is left-scattered, then, by Theorem 3.3 (iii), f is nabla fractional differentiable of any order $\alpha \in \,]0,1]$. If t is left-dense, then, by Theorem 3.3 (iv, v),

$$f^{\nabla^\beta}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(s) - f(t)}{(s-t)^\beta}.$$

Since

$$f^{\nabla^{\beta}}(t) = \lim_{s\to t} \frac{\frac{f(s)-f(t)}{(s-t)^{\alpha}}}{(s-t)^{\beta-\alpha}},$$

we have

$$f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = \lim_{s\to t} (s-t)^{\beta-\alpha} f^{\nabla^{\beta}}(t),$$

which proves existence of the nabla fractional derivative of f of order α at $t \in \mathbb{T}$. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *If $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f(t) = c$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f^{\nabla^{\alpha}} \equiv 0$.*

Proof. If t is left-scattered, then, by Theorem 3.3 (iii), one has

$$f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = \frac{f(t) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[t-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}} = \frac{c-c}{[t-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}} = 0.$$

Assume t is left-dense. Then, by Theorem 3.3 (iv) and (v), it follows that

$$f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = \lim_{s\to t} \frac{c-c}{[t-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}} = 0.$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *If $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f(t) = t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then*

$$f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = \begin{cases} [t-\rho(t)]^{1-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Clearly, the function is nabla differentiable, which is the same as saying that function f is nabla fractional differentiable of order 1. Then, by Theorem 3.4, the function is nabla fractional differentiable of order α, with $\alpha \in]0,1]$. From Theorem 3.3 (vi) it follows that

$$t - \rho(t) = [t-\rho(t)]^{\alpha} f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t).$$

If $t - \rho(t) \neq 0$, then

$$f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = [t-\rho(t)]^{1-\alpha}$$

and the desired relation is proved. Assume now that $t - \rho(t) = 0$, that is, $\rho(t) = t$. In this case t is left-dense and by Theorem 3.3 (iv) and (v) it follows that

$$f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = \lim_{s\to t} \frac{s-t}{(s-t)^{\alpha}}.$$

Therefore, if $\alpha = 1$, then $f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = 1$; if $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = 0$. $\square$

Let us now consider the particular case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 3.7. *Function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is nabla fractional differentiable of order α at point $t \in \mathbb{R}$ if, and only if, the limit*

$$\lim_{s\to t} \frac{f(s)-f(t)}{(s-t)^{\alpha}}$$

exists as a finite number. In this case,

$$f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = \lim_{s\to t} \frac{f(s)-f(t)}{(s-t)^{\alpha}}.$$

Proof. Here $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and all points are left-dense. The result follows from Theorem 3.3 (iv) and (v). Note that if $\alpha \in]0,1] \setminus \left\{\frac{1}{q} : q \text{ is an odd number}\right\}$, then the limit only makes sense as a right-side limit. □

The next result shows that there are functions which are nabla fractional differentiable but are not nabla differentiable.

Proposition 3.8. *If $f : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f(t) = \sqrt{t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$, then*

$$f^{\nabla^{1/2}}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Here $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}_0^+$. In this time scale every point t is left-dense and by Theorem 3.3 (v) with $\alpha = 1/2$ it follows that

$$f^{\nabla^{1/2}}(t) = \lim_{s \to t^+} \frac{\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{s-t}} = \lim_{s \to t^+} \frac{\sqrt{s-t}}{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t}} = 0$$

for $t \neq 0$. If $t = 0$, then

$$f^{\nabla^{1/2}}(t) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{s}} = 1.$$

This concludes the proof. □

Remark 3.9. If $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, then

$$f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(s) - f(t)}{(s-t)^\alpha} = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(s) - f(t)}{s-t} (s-t)^{1-\alpha} = f'(t) \lim_{s \to t} (s-t)^{1-\alpha} = 0.$$

Therefore, the nabla derivative of order α is always zero whenever the function is differentiable. The fractional nabla derivative is particular useful to study the behaviour of functions that are not differentiable in the classical sense, as shown in Proposition 3.8.

For the fractional derivative on time scales to be useful, we would like to know formulas for the derivatives of sums, products and quotients of fractional differentiable functions. This is done according to the following theorem.

Theorem 3.10. *Assume $f, g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ are nabla fractional differentiable of order α at $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$. Then,*

(i) *the sum $f + g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is nabla fractional differentiable at t with*

$$(f+g)^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) + g^{\nabla^\alpha}(t);$$

(ii) *for any constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is nabla fractional differentiable at t with*

$$(\lambda f)^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = \lambda f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t);$$

(iii) *if f and g are continuous, then the product $fg : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is nabla fractional differentiable at t with*

$$\begin{aligned}(fg)^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) &= f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)\, g(t) + f^\rho(t)\, g^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) \\ &= f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) g^\rho(t) + f(t) g^{\nabla^\alpha}(t);\end{aligned}$$

(iv) *if f is continuous and $f^{\rho}(t)f(t) \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{f}$ is nabla fractional differentiable at t with*

$$\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = -\frac{f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)}{f^{\rho}(t)f(t)};$$

(v) *if f and g are continuous and $g^{\rho}(t)g(t) \neq 0$, then $\frac{f}{g}$ is fractional differentiable at t with*

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = \frac{f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)g(t) - f(t)g^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)}{g^{\rho}(t)g(t)}.$$

Proof. Let us consider that $\alpha \in]0,1] \cap \left\{\frac{1}{q} : q \text{ is an odd number}\right\}$. The proofs for the case $\alpha \in]0,1] \setminus \left\{\frac{1}{q} : q \text{ is an odd number}\right\}$ are similar: one just needs to choose the proper right-sided neighborhoods. Assume that f and g are nabla fractional differentiable of order α at $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$. (*i*) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}_2$ of t for which

$$\left|[f(s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\,|s-\rho(t)|^{\alpha} \ \ \textit{for all } s \in \mathcal{U}_1$$

and

$$\left|[g(s) - g^{\rho}(t)] - g^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\,|s-\rho(t)|^{\alpha} \ \ \textit{for all } s \in \mathcal{U}_2.$$

Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|(f+g)(s) - (f+g)^{\rho}(t) - \left[f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) + g^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\right][s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}\right| \\ &\quad \leq \left|[f(s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}\right| + \left|[g(s) - g^{\rho}(t)] - g^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}\right| \\ &\quad \leq \varepsilon|s-\rho\,(t)\,|^{\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, $f+g$ is fractional differentiable of order α at t and

$$(f+g)^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) + g^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t).$$

(*ii*) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of t with

$$\left|[f(s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}\right| \leq \varepsilon\,|s-\rho(t)|^{\alpha} \ \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{U}.$$

It follows that

$$\left|[(\lambda f)(s) - (\lambda f)^{\rho}(t)] - \lambda f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,[s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}\right| \leq \varepsilon|\lambda|\ |s-\rho(t)|\,|^{\alpha} \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Therefore, λf is fractional differentiable of order α at t and $(\lambda f)^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) = \lambda f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)$ holds at t. (*iii*) If t is left-dense, then

$$\begin{aligned}(fg)^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t) &= \lim_{s\to t}\frac{(fg)(s) - (fg)(t)}{(s-t)^{\alpha}} \\ &= \lim_{s\to t}\frac{f(s)-f(t)}{(s-t)^{\alpha}}g(s) + \lim_{s\to t}\frac{g(s)-g(t)}{(s-t)^{\alpha}}f(t) \\ &= f^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,g(t) + f^{\rho}(t)\,g^{\nabla^{\alpha}}(t)\,.\end{aligned}$$

If t is left-scattered, then

$$\begin{aligned}(fg)^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) &= \frac{(fg)(t)-(fg)^\rho(t)}{[t-\rho(t)]^\alpha}\\ &= \frac{f(t)-f^\rho(t)}{[t-\rho(t)]^\alpha}g(t)+\frac{g(t)-g^\rho(t)}{[t-\rho(t)]^\alpha}f^\rho(t)\\ &= f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)g(t)+f^\rho(t)g^{\nabla^\alpha}(t).\end{aligned}$$

The other product rule formula follows by interchanging the role of functions f and g. *(iv)* Using the fractional derivative of a constant (Proposition 3.5) and Theorem 3.10 *(iii)*, we know that

$$\left(f\cdot\frac{1}{f}\right)^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)=(1)^{(\alpha)}(t)=0.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)f^\rho(t)+f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)\frac{1}{f(t)}=0.$$

Since we are assuming $f^\rho(t)\neq 0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)=-\frac{f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)}{f^\rho(t)f(t)},$$

as intended. *(v)* Follows trivially from the previous properties:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) &= \left(f\cdot\frac{1}{g}\right)^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)\\ &= f(t)\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)+f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)\frac{1}{g^\rho(t)}\\ &= -f(t)\frac{g^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)}{g^\rho(t)g(t)}+f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)\frac{1}{g^\rho(t)}\\ &= \frac{f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)g(t)-f(t)g^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)}{g(t)g(\sigma(t))}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

The next result provides examples of how to use the algebraic properties of the nabla fractional derivatives of order α.

Theorem 3.11. *Let c be a constant, $m\in\mathbb{N}$, and $\alpha\in\,]0,1[$.*

(i) *If $f(t)=(t-c)^m$, then*

$$f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)=\begin{cases}[t-\rho(t)]^{1-\alpha}\displaystyle\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}[\rho(t)-c]^\nu(t-c)^{m-1-\nu} & \text{if } \alpha\neq 1,\\ \displaystyle\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}[\rho(t)-c]^\nu(t-c)^{m-1-\nu} & \text{if } \alpha=1.\end{cases}$$

(ii) *If* $g(t) = \dfrac{1}{(t-c)^m}$, *then*

$$g^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} -\left[t-\rho(t)\right]^{1-\alpha} \displaystyle\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\left[\rho(t)-c\right]^{m-\nu}(t-c)^{\nu+1}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ -\displaystyle\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\left[\rho(t)-c\right]^{m-\nu}(t-c)^{\nu+1}} & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

provided $\left[\rho(t)-c\right](t-c) \neq 0$.

Proof. We use mathematical induction. First, let us consider the case $\alpha \neq 0$. If $m = 1$, then $f(t) = t - c$ and $f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = \left[t-\rho(t)\right]^{1-\alpha}$ holds from Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 and Theorem 3.10 (*i*). Now, assume that

$$f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = \left[t-\rho(t)\right]^{1-\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left[\rho(t)-c\right]^\nu (t-c)^{m-1-\nu}$$

holds for $f(t) = (t-c)^m$ and let $F(t) = (t-c)^{m+1} = (t-c)f(t)$. By Theorem 3.10 (*iii*), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) &= (t-c)^{\nabla^\alpha} f^\rho(t) + f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)(t-c) \\ &= \left[t-\rho(t)\right]^{1-\alpha} f^\rho(t) + f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)(t-c) \\ &= \left[t-\rho(t)\right]^{1-\alpha} \left[\left[\rho(t)-c\right]^m + \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left[\rho(t)-c\right]^\nu (t-c)^{m-\nu}\right] \\ &= \left[t-\rho(t)\right]^{1-\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \left[\rho(t)-c\right]^\nu (t-c)^{m-\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by mathematical induction, part (*i*) holds. For $g(t) = \dfrac{1}{(t-c)^m} = \dfrac{1}{f(t)}$, we apply Theorem 3.10 (*iv*) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = -\frac{f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)}{f^\rho(t) f(t)} &= -\left[t-\rho(t)\right]^{1-\alpha} \frac{\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left[\rho(t)-c\right]^\nu (t-c)^{m-1-\nu}}{\left[\rho(t)-c\right]^m (t-c)^m} \\ &= -\left[t-\rho(t)\right]^{1-\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\left[\rho(t)-c\right]^{m-\nu}(t-c)^{\nu+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\left[\rho(t)-c\right](t-c) \neq 0$. For $\alpha = 1$ the proofs are similar bearing in mind that $(t)^{\nabla^1} = (t)^\nabla = 1$. □

Now we introduce the nabla fractional integral on time scales.

Definition 3.12 (The indefinite nabla fractional integral)**.** Assume that $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a regulated function. We define the indefinite nabla fractional integral of f of order β, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, by

$$\int f(t) \nabla^\beta t := \left(\int f(t) \nabla t\right)^{\nabla^{(1-\beta)}}$$

with $\int f(t)\nabla t$ the usual indefinite nabla integral of time scales [11].

Remark 3.13. It follows from Definition 3.12 that

$$\int f(t)\nabla^1 t = \int f(t)\nabla t, \quad \int f(t)\nabla^0 t = f(t).$$

Definition 3.14 (The definite nabla fractional integral)**.** Assume $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a ld-continuous function. Let

$$F^{\nabla^\beta}(t) = \int f(t)\nabla^\beta t$$

denote the indefinite nabla fractional integral of f of order β with $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, and let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. We define the Cauchy nabla fractional integral from a to b by

$$\int_a^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t := F^{\nabla^\beta}(t)\Big|_a^b = F^{\nabla^\beta}(b) - F^{\nabla^\beta}(a).$$

The next theorem gives some algebraic properties of the nabla fractional integral.

Theorem 3.15. *If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{T}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{ld}$ with $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, then*

(i) $\displaystyle\int_a^b [f(t) + g(t)]\nabla^\beta t = \int_a^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t + \int_a^b g(t)\nabla^\beta t;$

(ii) $\displaystyle\int_a^b (\lambda f)(t)\nabla^\beta t = \lambda \int_a^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t;$

(iii) $\displaystyle\int_a^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t = -\int_b^a f(t)\nabla^\beta t;$

(iv) $\displaystyle\int_a^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t = \int_a^c f(t)\nabla^\beta t + \int_c^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t;$

(v) $\displaystyle\int_a^a f(t)\nabla^\beta t = 0.$

Proof. The equalities follow from Definitions 3.12 and 3.14, analogous properties of the nabla integral on time scales, and the properties of Section 3.1 for the fractional nabla derivative on time scales. (i) From Definition 3.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b (f+g)(t)\nabla^\beta t &= \int [f(t) + g(t)]\,\nabla^\beta t\Big|_a^b \\ &= \left(\int [f(t) + g(t)]\,\nabla t\right)^{\nabla^{(1-\beta)}}\Bigg|_a^b \\ &= \int_a^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t + \int_a^b g(t)\nabla^\beta t.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) From Definitions 3.14 and 3.12, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b (\lambda f)(t)\nabla^\beta t = \int (\lambda f)(t)\nabla^\beta t\Big|_a^b &= \left(\int (\lambda f)(t)\nabla t\right)^{\nabla^{(1-\beta)}}\Bigg|_a^b \\ = \lambda\left(\int f(t)\nabla t\right)^{\nabla^{(1-\beta)}}\Bigg|_a^b &= \lambda\int_a^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t.\end{aligned}$$

The last properties (*iii*), (*iv*) and (*v*) are direct consequences of Definition 3.14:
(*iii*)

$$\int_a^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t = F^\beta(b) - F^\beta(a) = -\left(F^\beta(a) - F^\beta(b)\right) = -\int_b^a f(t)\nabla^\beta t;$$

(*iv*)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t &= F^{\nabla^\beta}(b) - F^{\nabla^\beta}(a) = F^{\nabla^\beta}(c) - F^{\nabla^\beta}(a) + F^{\nabla^\beta}(b) - F^{\nabla^\beta}(c)\\ &= \int_a^c f(t)\nabla^\beta t + \int_c^b f(t)\nabla^\beta t;\end{aligned}$$

(*v*)

$$\int_a^a f(t)\nabla^\beta t = F^{\nabla^\beta}(a) - F^{\nabla^\beta}(a) = 0.$$

This concludes the proof. □

We end this section with a simple example of a discrete fractional integral of order α.

Example 3.16. Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, and $f(t) = t$. Using the fact that

$$\int t\nabla t = \frac{t^2}{2} + C,$$

where C is a constant, we have

$$\int_1^{10} t\,\nabla^\beta t = \int t\,\nabla^\beta t\Big|_1^{10} = \left(\int t\,\nabla t\right)^{\nabla^{(1-\beta)}}\Bigg|_1^{10} = \left(\frac{t^2}{2} + C\right)^{(1-\beta)}\Bigg|_1^{10}.$$

It follows that

$$\int_1^{10} t\,\nabla^\beta t = [t - \rho(t)]^{(1-\alpha)}[\rho(t) + t]\Big|_1^{10} = \frac{1}{2}\,(2t-1)\Big|_1^{10} = \frac{19}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 9.$$

The fundamental concepts of the nabla fractional calculus of order α, which are the differentiation and integration of noninteger order using the nabla operator, were presented in this section. Instead of using the nabla operator, we could use the delta operator and we would obtain an analogous delta fractional calculus of order α. The properties of the delta fractional calculus of order α are similar to the properties of the nabla case. In the next section we will use both nabla and delta approaches, the delta and nabla fractional calculi of order α, to obtain useful results of the symmetric fractional calculus of order α and extend the results of [14].

3.2. **Symmetric fractional calculus.**

Let us now introduce the notion of symmetric fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in]0,1]$ on time scales.

Definition 3.17 (The symmetric fractional derivative)**.** Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa_\kappa$, and $\alpha \in\,]0,1]$. The symmetric fractional derivative of f at t, denoted by $f^{\Diamond^\alpha}(t)$, is the real number (provided it exists) with the property that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{T}$ of t such that

$$\left|\left[f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^{\rho}(t)\right] - f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\left[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}\right| \leq \varepsilon \left|\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)\right|^{\alpha}$$

for all $s \in U$ for which $2t - s \in U$. A function f is said to be symmetric fractional differentiable of order α provided $f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}_{\kappa}$.

Remark 3.18. If $\alpha = 1$, then the symmetric fractional derivative is the symmetric derivative on time scales [14].

Some useful properties of the symmetric derivative are given in Theorem 3.19.

Theorem 3.19. *Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}_{\kappa}$ and $\alpha \in \left]0, 1\right]$. The following holds:*

(i) *Function f has at most one symmetric fractional derivative of order α.*
(ii) *If f is symmetric fractional differentiable of order α at t and t is dense or isolated, then f is symmetric continuous at t (Definition 2.3).*
(iii) *If f is continuous at t and t is not dense, then f is symmetric differentiable of order α at t with*

$$f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = \frac{f^{\sigma}(t) - f^{\rho}(t)}{\left[\sigma(t) - \rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}}.$$

(iv) *If t is dense, then f is symmetric fractional differentiable of order α at t if and only if the limit*

$$\lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(2t-s) - f(s)}{2^{\alpha}(t-s)^{\alpha}}$$

exists as a finite number. In this case,

$$f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(2t-s) - f(s)}{2^{\alpha}(t-s)^{\alpha}} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t+h) - f(t-h)}{2^{\alpha} h^{\alpha}}.$$

(v) *If f is symmetric differentiable of order α and continuous at t, then*

$$f^{\sigma}(t) = f^{\rho}(t) + f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\left[\sigma(t) - \rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}.$$

Proof. (*i*) Suppose that f has two symmetric derivatives of order α at t, $f_1^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)$ and $f_2^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)$. Then, there exists a neighborhood U_1 of t such that

$$\left|\left[f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^{\rho}(t)\right] - f_1^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\left[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left|\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)\right|^{\alpha}$$

for all $s \in U_1$ for which $2t - s \in U_1$, and a neighborhood U_2 of t such that

$$\left|\left[f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^{\rho}(t)\right] - f_2^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\left[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left|\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)\right|^{\alpha}$$

for all $s \in U_2$ for which $2t - s \in U_2$. Therefore, for all $s \in U_1 \cap U_2$ for which $2t - s \in U_1 \cap U_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| f_1^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) - f_2^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) \right| &= \left| \left[f_1^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) - f_2^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) \right] \frac{[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}}{[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\left| [f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f_2^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) [\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right|}{|\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)|^{\alpha}} \\
&\quad + \frac{\left| [f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f_1^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) [\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right|}{|\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)|^{\alpha}} \\
&\leq \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) From hypothesis, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood U of t such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| [f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) [\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)|^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in U$ for which $2t - s \in U$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|f(s) - f(2t-s)| \\
&\quad \leq \left| [f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) [\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \\
&\qquad + \left| [f^{\sigma}(t) - f(t) + f(t) - f^{\rho}(t)] - f^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) [\sigma(t) + 2t - 2t - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \\
&\qquad + \left| f^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) [\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} - f^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) [\sigma(t) - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \\
&\quad \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon |\sigma(t) + 2t - 2t - \rho(t)|^{\alpha} \\
&\qquad + \left| f^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) [\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} - f^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) [\sigma(t) - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

If t is dense, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(s) - f(2t-s)| &\leq \varepsilon 2^{\alpha} |t - s|^{\alpha} + \left| f^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) \right| 2^{\alpha} |t - s|^{\alpha} \\
&\leq \varepsilon^{\alpha} 2^{\alpha} \left(\varepsilon + \left| f^{\Diamond^{\alpha}}(t) \right| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in U \cap]t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon[$, which proves the result for a point t which is dense. If t is isolated, then the function is continuous at t (because of the inherited topology) and therefore the function is symmetric continuous at t. (iii) Suppose that $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}_{\kappa}$ is not dense and f is continuous at t. Then,

$$\lim_{s \to t} \frac{f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}} = \frac{f^{\sigma}(t) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t) - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}}.$$

Hence, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood U of t such that

$$\left| \frac{f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}} - \frac{f^{\sigma}(t) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t) - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}} \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $s \in U$ for which $2t - s \in U$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| [f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^{\rho}(t)] - \frac{f^{\sigma}(t) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t) - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}} [\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^{\alpha} \right| \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)|^{\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves that

$$f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)=\frac{f^{\sigma}(t)-f^{\rho}(t)}{\left[\sigma(t)-\rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}}.$$

(iv) Assume that f is symmetric fractional differentiable of order α at t and t is dense. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then, there exists a neighborhood U of t such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left[f^{\sigma}(t)-f(s)+f(2t-s)-f^{\rho}(t)\right]-f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\left[\sigma(t)+2t-2s-\rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}\right|&\\ \leq\varepsilon\left|\sigma(t)+2t-2s-\rho(t)\right|^{\alpha}&\end{aligned}$$

for all $s\in U$ for which $2t-s\in U$. Since t is dense,

$$\left|\left[-f(s)+f(2t-s)\right]-f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\left[2t-2s\right]^{\alpha}\right|\leq\varepsilon\left|2t-2s\right|^{\alpha}$$

for all $s\in U$ for which $2t-s\in U$. It follows that

$$\left|\frac{f(2t-s)-f(s)}{(2t-2s)^{\alpha}}-f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\right|\leq\varepsilon$$

for all $s\in U$ with $s\neq t$. Therefore, we get the desired result:

$$f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)=\lim_{s\to t}\frac{f(2t-s)-f(s)}{2^{\alpha}(t-s)^{\alpha}}.$$

Conversely, let us suppose that t is dense and the limit

$$\lim_{s\to t}\frac{f(2t-s)-f(s)}{2^{\alpha}(t-s)^{\alpha}}=:L$$

exists. Then, there exists a neighborhood U of t such that $\left|\frac{f(2t-s)-f(s)}{2^{\alpha}(t-s)^{\alpha}}-L\right|\leq\varepsilon$ for all $s\in U$ for which $2t-s\in U$. Because t is dense, we have

$$\left|\frac{f^{\sigma}(t)-f(s)+f(2t-s)-f^{\rho}(t)}{\left[\sigma(t)+2t-2s-\rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}}-L\right|\leq\varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left[f^{\sigma}(t)-f(s)+f(2t-s)-f^{\rho}(t)\right]-L\left[\sigma(t)+2t-2s-\rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}\right|&\\ \leq\varepsilon\left|\sigma(t)+2t-2s-\rho(t)\right|^{\alpha},&\end{aligned}$$

which leads us to the conclusion that f is symmetric differentiable of order α and $f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)=L$. Note that if we use the substitution $s=t+h$, then

$$f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)=\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{f(t+h)-f(t-h)}{2^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}}.$$

(v) If t is a dense point, then $\sigma(t)=\rho(t)$ and

$$f^{\sigma}(t)=f^{\rho}(t)+f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\left[\sigma(t)-\rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}.$$

If t is not dense, and since f is continuous, then

$$f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)=\frac{f^{\sigma}(t)-f^{\rho}(t)}{\left[\sigma(t)-\rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}}\Leftrightarrow f^{\sigma}(t)=f^{\rho}(t)+f^{\diamondsuit}(t)\left[\sigma(t)-\rho(t)\right]^{\alpha}.$$

This concludes the proof. □

Remark 3.20. An alternative way to define the symmetric fractional derivative of f of order $\alpha \in]0,1]$ at $t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa^\kappa$ consists in saying that the limit

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\diamondsuit^\alpha}(t) &= \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f^\sigma(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^\rho(t)}{[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^\alpha} \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f^\sigma(t) - f(t+h) + f(t-h) - f^\rho(t)}{[\sigma(t) - 2h - \rho(t)]^\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

exists. Similarly, we can say that the nabla fractional derivative of f of order α is defined by

$$f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(s) - f^\rho(t)}{[s - \rho(t)]^\alpha}$$

and the delta fractional derivative of f of order α is defined by

$$f^{\Delta^\alpha}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f^\sigma(t) - f(s)}{[\sigma(t) - s]^\alpha}.$$

Remark 3.21. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric fractional differentiable of order α at point $t \in \mathbb{R}$ if, and only if, the limit

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t+h) - f(t-h)}{2^\alpha h^\alpha}$$

exists as finite number. In this case,

$$f^{\diamondsuit^\alpha}(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t+h) - f(t-h)}{2^\alpha h^\alpha}.$$

If $\alpha = 1$, then we obtain the classical symmetric derivative in the real numbers [34], defined by

$$f^{\diamondsuit}(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t+h) - f(t-h)}{h}.$$

Remark 3.22. Let $h > 0$. If a function $f : h\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric differentiable of order α for $t \in h\mathbb{Z}$, then

$$f^{\diamondsuit^\alpha}(t) = \frac{f(t+h) - f(t-h)}{2^\alpha h^\alpha}.$$

If $\alpha = 1$, then we obtain the symmetric h-derivative in the quantum set $h\mathbb{Z}$ [23], defined by

$$f^{\diamondsuit}(t) = \frac{f(t+h) - f(t-h)}{h}.$$

Let us now see some examples.

Proposition 3.23. *If $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f(t) = c$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f^{\diamondsuit^\alpha}(t) = 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa^\kappa$.*

Proof. Trivially, we have

$$f^{\diamondsuit^\alpha}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f^\sigma(t) - f(s) + f(2t-s) - f^\rho(t)}{[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^\alpha} = 0.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Proposition 3.24. *If $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f(t) = t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then*

$$f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = \begin{cases} [\sigma(t) - \rho(t)]^{1-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}$.

Proof. If t is not dense, then by Theorem 3.19 (iii)

$$f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = \frac{f^{\sigma}(t) - f^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t) - \rho(t)]^{\alpha}} = [\sigma(t) - \rho(t)]^{1-\alpha}.$$

If t is dense, then by Theorem 3.19 (iv)

$$f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(2t - s) - f(s)}{2^{\alpha}(t - s)^{\alpha}} = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{2(t - s)}{2^{\alpha}(t - s)^{\alpha}}.$$

Thus, if $\alpha = 1$, then $f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = 1$; if $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = 0$. □

Next proposition shows a function that is not differentiable at point $t = 0$ in the sense of classical (integer-order) calculus and standard (nonsymmetric) calculus on time scales [11, 12], but is symmetric fractional differentiable of order $\alpha \in]0, 1]$.

Proposition 3.25. *Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a time scale with $0 \in \mathbb{T}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}$ and $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(t) = |t|$. Then,*

$$f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \text{ is dense} \\ \dfrac{\sigma(0) + \rho(0)}{[\sigma(0) - \rho(0)]^{\alpha}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $\alpha \in]0, 1]$.

Proof. We know (see Remark 3.20) that

$$f^{\diamondsuit}(0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f^{\sigma}(0) - f(0 + h) + f(0 - h) - f^{\rho}(0)}{[\sigma(0) - 2h - \rho(0)]^{\alpha}} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\sigma(0) + \rho(0)}{[\sigma(0) - 2h - \rho(0)]^{\alpha}}.$$

The result follows immediately from this equality. □

We now give some algebric properties of the symmetric fractional derivative.

Theorem 3.26. *Let $f, g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be two symmetric fractional differentiable functions of order α at $t \in \mathbb{T}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The following holds:*

(i) *Function $f + g$ is symmetric fractional differentiable of order α at t with*

$$(f + g)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) + g^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t).$$

(ii) *Function λf is symmetric fractional differentiable of order α at t with*

$$(\lambda f)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = \lambda f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t).$$

(iii) *If f and g are continuous at t, then fg is symmetric fractional differentiable of order α at t with*

$$(fg)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) g^{\sigma}(t) + f^{\rho}(t) g^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t).$$

(iv) *If f is continuous at t and $f^{\sigma}(t) f^{\rho}(t) \neq 0$, then $1/f$ is symmetric fractional differentiable of order α at t with*

$$\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t) = -\frac{f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)}{f^{\sigma}(t) f^{\rho}(t)}.$$

(v) *If f and g are continuous at t and $g^{\sigma}(t)\,g^{\rho}(t)\neq 0$, then f/g is symmetric fractional differentiable of order α at t with*

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)=\frac{f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\,g^{\rho}(t)-f^{\rho}(t)\,g^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)\,g^{\rho}(t)}.$$

Proof. (i) For $t\in\mathbb{T}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f+g)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)&=\lim_{s\to t}\frac{(f+g)^{\sigma}(t)-(f+g)(s)+(f+g)(2t-s)-(f+g)^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t)+2t-2s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}}\\&=\lim_{s\to t}\frac{f^{\sigma}(t)-f(s)+f(2t-s)-f^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t)+2t-2s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}}\\&\quad+\lim_{s\to t}\frac{g^{\sigma}(t)-g(s)+g(2t-s)-g^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t)+2t-2s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}}\\&=f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)+g^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $t\in\mathbb{T}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}$ and $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda f)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)&=\lim_{s\to t}\frac{(\lambda f)^{\sigma}(t)-(\lambda f)(s)+(\lambda f)(2t-s)-(\lambda f)^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t)+2t-2s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}}\\&=\lambda\lim_{s\to t}\frac{f^{\sigma}(t)-f(s)+f(2t-s)-f^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t)+2t-2s-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}}\\&=\lambda f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t).\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let us assume that $t\in\mathbb{T}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}$ and f and g are continuous at t. If t is dense, then

$$\begin{aligned}(fg)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)&=\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{(fg)(t+h)-(fg)(t-h)}{2^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}}\\&=\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{f(t+h)-f(t-h)}{2^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}}g(t+h)+\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{g(t+h)-g(t-h)}{2^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}}f(t-h)\\&=f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\,g^{\sigma}(t)+f^{\rho}(t)\,g^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t).\end{aligned}$$

If t is not dense, then

$$\begin{aligned}(fg)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)&=\frac{(fg)^{\sigma}(t)-(fg)^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t)-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}}=\frac{f^{\sigma}(t)-f^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t)-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}}g^{\sigma}(t)+\frac{g^{\sigma}(t)-g^{\rho}(t)}{[\sigma(t)-\rho(t)]^{\alpha}}f^{\rho}(t)\\&=f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\,g^{\sigma}(t)+f^{\rho}(t)\,g^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t).\end{aligned}$$

We just proved the intended equality. (iv) Using the relation $\left(\frac{1}{f}\times f\right)(t)=1$ we can write that

$$0=\left(\frac{1}{f}\times f\right)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)=f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{\sigma}(t)+f^{\rho}(t)\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t).$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)=-\frac{f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)}{f^{\sigma}(t)\,f^{\rho}(t)}.$$

(v) Let $t\in\mathbb{T}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)&=\left(f\times\frac{1}{g}\right)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)=f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)^{\sigma}(t)+f^{\rho}(t)\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\\&=\frac{f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)}+f^{\rho}(t)\left(-\frac{g^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)\,g^{\rho}(t)}\right)=\frac{f^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)\,g^{\rho}(t)-f^{\rho}(t)\,g^{\diamondsuit^{\alpha}}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)\,g^{\rho}(t)}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Example 3.27. The symmetric fractional derivative of $f(t) = t^2$ of order α is

$$f^{\diamondsuit^\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} [\sigma(t) - \rho(t)]^{1-\alpha}[\sigma(t) + \rho(t)] & \text{if} \quad \alpha \neq 1 \\ \sigma(t) + \rho(t) & \text{if} \quad \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Example 3.28. The symmetric derivative of $f(t) = 1/t$ of order α is

$$f^{\diamondsuit^\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{[\sigma(t) - \rho(t)]^{1-\alpha}}{\sigma(t)\rho(t)} & \text{if} \quad \alpha \neq 1 \\ -\dfrac{1}{\sigma(t)\rho(t)} & \text{if} \quad \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

The next result gives a relation between the nonsymmetric and symmetric fractional derivatives.

Proposition 3.29. *If f is both delta and nabla fractional differentiable of order α, then f is symmetric fractional differentiable of order α with*

$$f^{\diamondsuit^\alpha}(t) = \gamma_1(t) f^{\Delta^\alpha}(t) + \gamma_2(t) f^{\nabla^\alpha}(t)$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa_\kappa$, where

$$\gamma_1(t) := \lim_{s \to t}\left[\frac{\sigma(t) - s}{\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)}\right]^\alpha$$

and

$$\gamma_2(t) := \lim_{s \to t}\left[\frac{(2t - s) - \rho(t)}{\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)}\right]^\alpha.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\diamondsuit^\alpha}(t) &= \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f^\sigma(t) - f(s) + f(2t - s) - f^\rho(t)}{[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^\alpha} \\ &= \lim_{s \to t}\left(\frac{[\sigma(t) - s]^\alpha}{[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^\alpha}\frac{f^\sigma(t) - f(s)}{[\sigma(t) - s]^\alpha}\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \frac{[(2t - s) - \rho(t)]^\alpha}{[\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)]^\alpha}\frac{f(2t - s) - f^\rho(t)}{[(2t - s) - \rho(t)]^\alpha}\right) \\ &= \lim_{s \to t}\left(\left[\frac{\sigma(t) - s}{\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)}\right]^\alpha f^\Delta(t)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \left[\frac{(2t - s) - \rho(t)}{\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)}\right]^\alpha f^\nabla(t)\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is dense, then

$$\gamma_1(t) = \lim_{s \to t}\left[\frac{\sigma(t) - s}{\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)}\right]^\alpha = \lim_{s \to t}\left[\frac{t - s}{2t - 2s}\right]^\alpha = \frac{1}{2^\alpha}$$

and

$$\gamma_2(t) = \lim_{s \to t}\left[\frac{(2t - s) - \rho(t)}{\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)}\right]^\alpha = \lim_{s \to t}\left[\frac{t - s}{2t - 2s}\right]^\alpha = \frac{1}{2^\alpha}.$$

On the other hand, if $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is not dense, then

$$\gamma_1(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \left[\frac{\sigma(t) - s}{\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)} \right]^\alpha = \left[\frac{\sigma(t) - t}{\sigma(t) - \rho(t)} \right]^\alpha$$

and

$$\gamma_2(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \left[\frac{(2t - s) - \rho(t)}{\sigma(t) + 2t - 2s - \rho(t)} \right]^\alpha = \lim_{s \to t} \left[\frac{t - \rho(t)}{\sigma(t) - \rho(t)} \right]^\alpha .$$

Hence, functions $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ are well defined and, if f is delta and nabla differentiable, then $f^{\diamondsuit}(t) = \gamma_1(t) f^{\Delta}(t) + \gamma_2(t) f^{\nabla}(t)$. □

Remark 3.30. Suppose that f is delta and nabla fractional differentiable of order α. If point $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}_{\kappa}$ is right-scattered and left-dense, then its fractional symmetric derivative of order α is equal to its delta fractional derivative of order α. If t is left-scattered and right-dense, then its symmetric fractional derivative of order α is equal to its nabla fractional derivative of order α.

Due to Proposition 3.29, we can now define a symmetric integral of noninteger order.

Definition 3.31 (The symmetric fractional integral)**.** Assume function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is simultaneously rd- and ld-continuous. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and $F^{\Delta^\beta}(t) = \int f(t)\Delta^\beta t$ and $F^{\nabla^\beta}(t) = \int f(t)\nabla^\beta t$ denote the indefinite delta and nabla fractional integrals of f of order β, respectively. Then we define the Cauchy symmetric fractional integral of f of order $\beta \in]0, 1]$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b f(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t &= \gamma_1(t) F^{\Delta^\beta}(t)\Big|_a^b + \gamma_2(t) F^{\nabla^\beta}(t)\Big|_a^b \\ &= \gamma_1(b) F^{\Delta^\beta}(b) - \gamma_1(a) F^{\Delta^\beta}(a) + \gamma_2(b) F^{\nabla^\beta}(b) - \gamma_2(a) F^{\nabla^\beta}(a).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we present some algebraic properties of the symmetric fractional integral.

Theorem 3.32. *Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{ld}$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{rd}$ with $0 \le \beta \le 1$, then*

(i) $\displaystyle\int_a^b [f(t) + g(t)]\diamondsuit^\beta t = \int_a^b f(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t + \int_a^b g(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t$;

(ii) $\displaystyle\int_a^b (\lambda f)(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t = \lambda \int_a^b f(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t$;

(iii) $\displaystyle\int_a^b f(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t = -\int_b^a f(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t$;

(iv) $\displaystyle\int_a^b f(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t = \int_a^c f(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t + \int_c^b f(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t$;

(v) $\displaystyle\int_a^a f(t)\diamondsuit^\beta t = 0$.

Proof. Equalities (i)–(v) follow from Definition 3.31 and analogous properties of the nabla and delta fractional integrals (cf. Theorem 3.15). □

4. Conclusion

Fractional calculus, that is, the study of differentiation and integration of noninteger order, is here extended, via the recent and powerful calculus on time scales, to include, in a single theory, discrete, continuous and hybrid fractional calculi. Both nonsymmetric and symmetric fractional derivatives and integrals on an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers are introduced, and their fundamental properties derived. It is shown that a function may be fractional differentiable but not differentiable; and that a function may be symmetric fractional differentiable but not fractional differentiable. A relation between the nonsymmetric and symmetric fractional derivatives is also derived. In particular, our time-scale symmetric fractional calculus of order $\alpha \in]0,1]$ gives, when $\alpha = 1$, the recent results of [14]; while for $\alpha = 1$ the delta and nabla nonsymmetric fractional calculi reduce to the the usual delta and nabla calculus on time scales, respectively.

We have only introduced some fundamental concepts and proved some basic properties. Much remains to be done in order to develop the theory here initiated. In particular, it would be interesting to investigate the usefulness of the new fractional calculi in applications to real world problems, where the time scale is partially continuous and partially discrete with a time-varying graininess function. This and other questions will be subject of future research.

Acknowledgments

This work is part of first author's PhD, which is carried out at Sidi Bel Abbes University, Algeria. It was partially supported by the *Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications* (CIDMA) and the *Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology* (FCT), within project UID/MAT/04106/2013. The authors are grateful to two anonymous referees for important comments and suggestions.

References

1. S. Abbas, M. Benchohra and G. M. N'Guérékata, *Topics in fractional differential equations*, Developments in Mathematics, 27, Springer, New York, 2012.
2. R. P. Agarwal, Certain fractional q-integrals and q-derivatives, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **66** (1969), 365–370.
3. M. H. Annaby and Z. S. Mansour, *q-fractional calculus and equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2056, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
4. F. M. Atici and P. W. Eloe, Fractional q-calculus on a time scale, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **14** (2007), no. 3, 333–344.
5. B. Aulbach and S. Hilger, A unified approach to continuous and discrete dynamics, in *Qualitative theory of differential equations (Szeged, 1988)*, 37–56, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 53, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
6. N. R. O. Bastos, *Fractional calculus on time scales*, PhD thesis (supervisor: D. F. M. Torres), Doctoral Programme in Mathematics and Applications (PDMA Aveiro–Minho), University of Aveiro, 2012. `arXiv:1202.2960`
7. N. R. O. Bastos, R. A. C. Ferreira and D. F. M. Torres, Necessary optimality conditions for fractional difference problems of the calculus of variations, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **29** (2011), no. 2, 417–437. `arXiv:1007.0594`
8. N. R. O. Bastos, R. A. C. Ferreira and D. F. M. Torres, Discrete-time fractional variational problems, Signal Process. **91** (2011), no. 3, 513–524. `arXiv:1005.0252`
9. N. R. O. Bastos, D. Mozyrska and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional derivatives and integrals on time scales via the inverse generalized Laplace transform, Int. J. Math. Comput. **11** (2011), J11, 1–9. `arXiv:1012.1555`
10. N. Benkhettou, A. M. C. Brito da Cruz and D. F. M. Torres, A fractional calculus on arbitrary time scales: fractional differentiation and fractional integration, Signal Process. **107** (2015), 230–237. `arXiv:1405.2813`
11. M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001.

12. M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
13. A. M. C. Brito da Cruz, *Symmetric quantum calculus*, PhD thesis (supervisor: D. F. M. Torres; co-supervisor: N. Martins), Doctoral Programme in Mathematics and Applications (PDMA Aveiro–Minho), University of Aveiro, 2012. `arXiv:1306.1327`
14. A. M. C. Brito da Cruz, N. Martins and D. F. M. Torres, Symmetric differentiation on time scales, Appl. Math. Lett. **26** (2013), no. 2, 264–269. `arXiv:1209.2094`
15. A. M. C. Brito da Cruz, N. Martins and D. F. M. Torres, A symmetric quantum calculus, in *Differential and difference equations with applications*, 359–366, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 47, Springer, New York, 2013. `arXiv:1112.6133`
16. A. M. C. Brito da Cruz, N. Martins and D. F. M. Torres, A symmetric Nörlund sum with application to inequalities, in *Differential and difference equations with applications*, 495–503, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 47, Springer, New York, 2013. `arXiv:1203.2212`
17. A. M. C. Brito da Cruz, N. Martins and D. F. M. Torres, Hahn's symmetric quantum variational calculus, Numer. Algebra Control Optim. **3** (2013), no. 1, 77–94. `arXiv:1209.1530`
18. A. M. C. Brito da Cruz, N. Martins and D. F. M. Torres, The diamond integral on time scales, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., DOI: 10.1007/s40840-014-0096-7. `arXiv:1306.0988`
19. S. K. Choi and N. J. Koo, Dynamic equations on time scales, Trends in Mathematics **7** (2004), no. 2, 63–69.
20. M. Dryl and D. F. M. Torres, The delta-nabla calculus of variations for composition functionals on time scales, Int. J. Difference Equ. **8** (2013), no. 1, 27–47. `arXiv:1211.4368`
21. R. A. C. Ferreira and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional h-difference equations arising from the calculus of variations, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **5** (2011), no. 1, 110–121. `arXiv:1101.5904`
22. E. Girejko and D. Mozyrska, Semi-linear fractional systems with Caputo type multi-step differences, Carpathian J. Math. **30** (2014), no. 2, 187–195.
23. V. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum calculus*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2002.
24. T. Kaczorek, *Selected problems of fractional systems theory*, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, 411, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
25. A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
26. A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, On the diamond-alpha Riemann integral and mean value theorems on time scales, Dynam. Systems Appl. **18** (2009), no. 3-4, 469–481. `arXiv:0804.4420`
27. N. Martins and D. F. M. Torres, Necessary optimality conditions for higher-order infinite horizon variational problems on time scales, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **155** (2012), no. 2, 453–476. `arXiv:1204.3329`
28. R. Metzler and J. Klafter, The restaurant at the end of the random walk: recent developments in the description of anomalous transport by fractional dynamics, J. Phys. A **37** (2004), no. 31, R161–R208.
29. D. Mozyrska, Multiparameter fractional difference linear control systems, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. **2014**, Art. ID 183782, 8 pp.
30. D. Mozyrska and E. Pawłuszewicz, Controllability of h-difference linear control systems with two fractional orders, Internat. J. Systems Sci. **46** (2015), no. 4, 662–669.
31. D. Mozyrska, E. Pawłuszewicz and D. F. M. Torres, The Riemann-Stieltjes integral on time scales, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **7** (2010), no. 1, Art. 10, 14 pp. `arXiv:0903.1224`
32. S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives*, translated from the 1987 Russian original, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
33. Q. Sheng, M. Fadag, J. Henderson and J. M. Davis, An exploration of combined dynamic derivatives on time scales and their applications, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **7** (2006), no. 3, 395–413.
34. B. S. Thomson, *Symmetric properties of real functions*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 183, Dekker, New York, 1994.

Nadia Benkhettou, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Sidi Bel-Abbès, B.P. 89, 22000 Sidi Bel-Abbès, Algerie
E-mail address: `benkhettou_na@yahoo.fr`

Artur M. C. Brito da Cruz, Escola Superior de Tecnologia de Setúbal, Estefanilha, 2910-761 Setúbal, Portugal and Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA), University of Aveiro, 3810–193 Aveiro, Portugal
E-mail address: `artur.cruz@estsetubal.ips.pt`

Delfim F. M. Torres, Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA), Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810–193 Aveiro, Portugal
E-mail address: `delfim@ua.pt`